

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 Plaintiff

5 v.

6 KOFI SARFO, ROSE SARFO,

7 Defendants

Case No.: 2:23-cr-00132-APG-EJY

**Order Accepting Report and
Recommendation Denying Motion to
Suppress**

[ECF Nos. 64, 89]

8 Defendants Kofi Sarfo moved to suppress evidence he contends was illegally seized by
9 the Government. ECF No. 64. Magistrate Judge Youchah recommended that I deny the motion
10 without prejudice, in part because it is moot. ECF No. 89. Sarfo did not object. Thus, I am not
11 obligated to conduct a de novo review of the report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C.
12 § 636(b)(1)(c) (requiring district courts to “make a de novo determination of those portions of
13 the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is made”); *United States v. Reyna-*
14 *Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (“[T]he district judge must review the
15 magistrate judge’s findings and recommendations de novo *if objection is made*, but not
16 otherwise.” (emphasis in original)).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that Magistrate Judge Youchah’s report and recommendation
18 (ECF No. 89) is accepted, Kofi Sarfo’s motion to suppress (ECF No. 64) is denied without
19 prejudice.

20 DATED this 6th day of August, 2024.

21
22 
23 ANDREW P. GORDON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE